# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1162-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 256

Subject: General Assembly; Public Records, Public Meetings

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 1, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal extends the sunset provision from 2012 to 2016 with regard

to certain sunshine laws concerning closed government meetings and

records.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1162-01 Bill No. HB 256 Page 2 of 6 February 1, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1162-01 Bill No. HB 256 Page 3 of 6 February 1, 2013

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Department of Agriculture, Administrative Hearing Commission, Office of Administration - Budget and Planning, Bi-State Development, Office of Administration, Department of Economic Development, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Mental Health, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Health and Senior Services, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Department of Higher Education, Department of Revenue, Department of Social Services, Department of Public Safety (Capitol Police, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco and Fire Safety), Missouri Gaming Commission, Office of the Governor, Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Joint Committee on Public Retirement, Missouri Lottery Commission, Missouri Department of Conservation, Missouri Ethics Commission, Missouri Highway Patrol, Missouri House of Representatives, Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the State Auditor, Missouri Senate, Office of the Secretary of State, Office of the State Public Defender, Office of the State Treasurer, State Tax Commission and the Missouri Veterans Commission assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

The officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume any potential cost arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Governor's Office** assume there should be no added cost to their office as a result of this measure.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** state there is no anticipated state cost to the foundation formula associated with this proposal. To the extent fine revenues exceed 2004-2005 collections, any increase in this money distributed to school districts increases the deduction in the foundation formula the following year. Therefore the affected districts will see an equal decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula the following year; unless the affected districts are hold-harmless, in which case the districts will not see a decrease in the amount of funding received through the formula (any increase in fine money distributed to the hold-harmless districts will simply be additional money). An increase in the deduction (all other factors remaining constant) reduces the cost to the state of funding the formula.

L.R. No. 1162-01 Bill No. HB 256 Page 4 of 6 February 1, 2013

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

**Oversight** assumes that since the proposal would extend an expiration date which just expired (December 31, 2012), the differences, due to this proposal, in fine collections would be very minimal.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 33), officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, **Department of Transportation** and **MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a similar proposal from 2012 (HB 1970), officials from the **Boone County** Sheriff's Office, Springfield Police Department, and the Jefferson City Police Department each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

KC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1162-01 Bill No. HB 256 Page 5 of 6 February 1, 2013

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Attorney General's Office

Department of Agriculture

Administrative Hearing Commission

Office of Administration - Budget and Planning

Bi-State Development

Office of Administration

Office of the State Courts Administrator

Department of Economic Development

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Transportation

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

Department of Corrections

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Higher Education

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Services

Department of Public Safety

Missouri Gaming Commission

Office of the Governor

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

MoDOT & Patrol Employees' Retirement System

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Joint Committee on Public Retirement

Missouri Lottery Commission

Missouri Department of Conservation

Missouri Ethics Commission

Missouri Highway Patrol

Missouri House of Representatives

L.R. No. 1162-01 Bill No. HB 256 Page 6 of 6 February 1, 2013

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the State Auditor
Missouri Senate
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of the State Treasurer
State Tax Commission
Missouri Veterans Commission
Boone County Sheriff's Department
Springfield Police Department
Jefferson City Police Department

Ross Strope Acting Director February 1, 2013

Con Adg.